

White-Light Flares on Close Binaries Observed with *Kepler*

Qing Gao^{1,2}, Yu Xin¹, Ji-Feng Liu¹, Xiao-Bin Zhang¹, Shuang Gao³

ABSTRACT

Based on *Kepler* data, we present the results of a search for white-light flares on **1049** close binaries. We identify **234** flare binaries, on which **6818** flares are detected. We compare the flare-binary fraction in different binary morphologies (“detached-ness”). The result shows that the fractions in over-contact and ellipsoidal binaries are approximately 10-20% lower than those in detached and semi-detached systems. We calculate the binary flares activity level (AL) of all the flare binaries, and discuss its variations along the orbital period (P_{orb}) and rotation period (P_{rot} , calculated for only detached binaries). We find that AL increases with decreasing P_{orb} or P_{rot} up to the critical values at $P_{\text{orb}} \sim 3$ days or $P_{\text{rot}} \sim 1.5$ days, thereafter, the AL starts decreasing no matter how fast the stars rotate. We examine the flaring rate as a function of orbital phase in 2 eclipsing binaries on which a large number of flares are detected. It appears that there is no correlation between flaring rate and orbital phase in these 2 binaries. In contrast, when we examine the function with **203** flares on **20** non-eclipse ellipsoidal binaries, bimodal distribution of amplitude weighted flare numbers shows up at orbital phase 0.25 and 0.75. **Such variation could be larger than what is expected from the cross-section modification.**

Subject headings: stars:activity – stars:flare – stars:close binaries

1. INTRODUCTION

Flares are short-lived and intense release of magnetic energy caused by the reconnection of magnetic field loops in the outer atmosphere of stars (e.g., Benz & Güdel (2010); Shibayama et al.

¹Key Laboratory of Optical Astronomy, National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China; gaoqing10@mails.gucas.ac.cn

²University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

³Department of Astronomy, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

(2013)). The typical energy release in a solar flare ranges from 10^{27} to 10^{32} erg, and the duration is several minutes to several hours. Ground-based observations show that stellar flares occur most frequently in M dwarfs and are typically 10-1000 times more energetic than solar flares (e.g., Güdel & Nazé (2009)). Nine superflare candidates on G-type dwarfs with a typical energy of $10^{33} - 10^{38}$ erg have been reported by Schaefer et al. (2000). Such search was extremely extended by Shibayama et al. (2013), in which 1547 superflares are found on 279 G-type dwarfs from *Kepler*.

The *Kepler* spacecraft is quite powerful in detecting stellar flares due to its high-precision (~ 0.1 mmag) and long-period time-series observations (Koch et al. 2010). Since its launch, the number of flare stars in variety of stellar types has been dramatically increased, for instance, 373 flaring stars among $\sim 23,000$ cool dwarfs are discovered in the *Kepler* Quarter 1 long cadence data (Walkowicz et al. 2011), flare A-type stars have been detected in the *Kepler* field (Balona 2013), and 148 G-type superflare stars are found from $\sim 83,000$ stars observed over 120 days (Maehara et al. 2012). Meanwhile, our knowledge of the typical energies of stellar flares has been changed. Most of the flares caught with *Kepler* are $10^2 - 10^6$ more intense than the large solar flares, which should be identified as ‘superflares’ if from the ground-based observations.

Flares were also discovered on binaries, e.g., RS CVn variables (Pandey & Singh 2012) and W UMa star (CSTAR 038663; Qian et al. (2014)). RS CVn is a class of detached binaries typically composed of a chromospherically active G or K star (e.g., Berdyugina & Järvinen (2005)). The underlying mechanisms of triggering flares on RS CVn are suggested to remain the same as in the single stars, the magnetic reconnection in the field connecting the two stars may cause the flare events more active (e.g., Gunn et al. (1997)), **and tidal effect plays important role for enhancing magnetic activity of RS CVn stars (Cuntz et al. 2000)**. Flares on CSTAR 038663 are suggested to be originated on the surface of the contact binaries based on the observational facts that (i) the flare amplitude is increasing along the duration, and (ii) the photometric solution reveals a long-lived dark spot on the contact binary, which could be associated with the flares (Qian et al. 2014). Using *Kepler* data, Balona (2015) examined the flare frequency as a function of orbital phase in three eclipsing binaries. Unfortunately, no correlation of flaring with orbital phase was established from the work, and the result weakens the hypothesis that flares in close binaries could be a result of reconnection of field lines connecting the two stars (Balona 2015).

The main goal of this work is to identify flare binaries from *Kepler* data, and to investigate the correlations between flare properties and the physical parameters of binary systems. In order to achieve the purpose, we analyzed the light curves of **1049** close binaries, identified **234** flare binaries, and discovered **6818** flares on them. In Section 2, we describe the criteria of working-sample selection and the method of flare identification. In Section 3, we discuss the flaring properties of two ‘peculiar’ systems in Section 3.1, i.e., Kepler-Input-Catalog (KIC) 5952403 (a triple star

system) and KIC 11347875 (a binary with asymmetric light curve), we detect flaring rate along the flare intensity and binary morphology in Section 3.2, along the orbital period and rotation in Section 3.3, and along the orbital phase in Section 3.4. The conclusion and discussion are given in Section 4.

2. Observation and Data Analysis

2.1. *Kepler* Data

The *Kepler* spacecraft was launched by NASA in March 2009 with the primary objective of searching for exoplanets by finding planetary transit events from the recorded light curves of target stars in the Cygnus, Lyra, and Draco region (Borucki et al. 2011). As the luminosity decrease from planetary transit is usually less than one-hundredth of the total brightness of the star and the planet can be observed in front of the star only when the orbit is nearly parallel with the line of sight, *Kepler* is thus designed to obtain high-precision and long-term light curves of over 190,000 stars. The typical photometry precision is 0.1 mmag for a star of 12 magnitude, and the photometry was continuously processed and recorded for a period of four years (Koch et al. 2010; Gilliland et al. 2010a). Therefore, the *Kepler* light curves are useful in detecting not only planetary transits but also other small brightness variations such as stellar flares.

Kepler has two kinds of data records which are specified with their time resolutions, i.e., long cadence (LC) of 29.4 minutes exposure and short cadence (SC) of 1 minute exposure. Flare detection from *Kepler* is confined to the LC exposure time. The 30-min exposure prohibits the detection of short-lived flares and greatly lowers the visibility of flares lasting less than one or two hours. SC exposure is more suitable for the study of stellar flares (Maehara et al. 2015). Unfortunately, SC data are available for only 4828 stars and the time coverage is usually less than two months (Gilliland et al. 2010b).

2.2. Sample Selection

Kepler light curves are released in two types, i.e., the original simple aperture photometry, and with the pre-search data conditioning (PDC). The instrumental effects and some other errors such as flux discontinuities are removed from the PDC data (Smith et al. 2012). As the first step of the work is to identify flare binaries as many as possible, a sufficient working sample in accurate photometry can certainly help making the statistical results more reliable, therefore, we choose the

Kepler LC-PDC data in the work ¹.

The *Kepler* eclipsing binary (KEB) catalog² (**released on June 6, 2015 (Kirk et al. 2015)**) includes more than 2800 binary stars identified from *Kepler* data. KEB provides the binary information of its KIC identifier, morphology type, binary period, coordinates, *Kepler* magnitude, and etc, among which, the morphology is valued from 0 to 1 matching with the binary type from detached (0~0.5), semi-detached (0.5~0.7), over-contact (0.7~0.8), to the ellipsoidal (0.8~1.0) binaries, using the method of locally linear embedding (Matijević et al. 2012).

We select **1049** out of the over 2800 binaries in KEB as our working sample, based on mainly three criteria: **(1) binaries with neighboring stars within 12 arcsec are excluded to avoid false events from the flux of the neighboring stars (Shibayama et al. (2013))**; (2) the orbital period is longer than 0.4 days, since the light curves with period less than 0.4 days are easy to be entangled with the flare profiles; and (3) as we use the 30-min exposure LC data, given the period of ≥ 0.4 days, there has to be more than 20 data records within one orbital period, otherwise, the decomposition of flares from the binary light variation may become impossible. **Meanwhile, some ‘peculiar’ targets are also excluded from the working sample, which are mainly: (1) 42 heartbeat binaries** with highly eccentric orbit and tidally induced pulsations, as their light curves are unable to be understood with 4-terms Fourier function which we adopt in the work for flare detection (detailed description of the method is given in Section 2.3); **(2) 88 targets being not binaries, i.e., single stars with stable dark spots³ and multiple-star systems; and (3) 17 KIC targets without valid morphology values.**

2.3. Flare Measurements

The flare detection efficiency is limited by the data mode and the photometric uncertainty. Besides the disadvantage of using the long-time-exposure LC data, there is another difficulty that we need to confront at first, i.e., as the flare detection is based on the measurement of the light curve variations, a detrend process is definitely required to eliminate the baseline flux off the light

¹There are different release version of the *Kepler* LC-PDC data (http://archive.stsci.edu/kepler/data_release.html). The version we adopted for each flare binary is listed in column 11 in Table 1.

²[http : //keplerebs.villanova.edu/](http://keplerebs.villanova.edu/)

³The stable dark spots rotate together with the single star, which makes the light curve of the single star very easily miss-classified as that of the ellipsoidal binary. It can be distinguished from such as the flip-flop phenomenon (Jetsu et al. 1994; Jetsu 1996) and the amplitude diminishing on the light curve due to the weakening or vanish of the dark spots.

curve.

Given that the ellipsoidal binaries have the most smooth binary light curves that present the quasi-sinusoidal variations, and the variation can be well understood with no more than 3 terms Fourier series (Morris 1985), we decide to use 4 terms Fourier series to trace all the binary light curves without any smoothness except for the detached systems, as we are working on a large binary sample including different morphology types.

As for the detached binaries, the two components have no interaction or mass exchange between each other, and thus they can be considered as two ‘isolated’ single stars; therefore, the baseline fitting of detached binaries follows the method adopted in the work of flare detection on cool single stars by Walkowicz et al. (2011), i.e., median filter the observed light curves on which the flares have been tagged out and then create the baseline curve with quiescent variability but no flares. The data points during eclipse are excluded.

The main procedure of baseline fitting for the other three morphology types follows the steps as:

- (i) The observed light curve is fitted with 4 terms Fourier series in each orbital period. The data that are 3σ away from the Fourier fitting curve will be eliminated. The process iterates until all the data left are within 3σ .
- (ii) The remaining data after step (i) are fitted again with 4 terms Fourier series. This time, the fitting is repeated 10 times with 0.1 orbital period backwards each time, which means each observing data point refers to 10 fitting points.
- (iii) The average and standard deviation of the 10 fitting points are calculated for each data point. Any fitting points 1σ away from the average are discarded.
- (iv) Finally, the baseline curve is established by taking the σ -clipped robust mean value of the fitting points left after step (iii).
- (v) When the method is applied to the semi-detached and over-contact binaries, the data points during eclipsing are simply excluded.

Figure. 1 demonstrates the procedure of baseline fitting. The light curve of KIC 11457191, a semi-detached binary, is used as an example. The original light curve is plotted in grey lines in the figure. The remaining data for baseline fitting after 3σ exclusion in step (i) are plotted as black points in panel (a). 10 times fitting points in step (ii) are given in green lines in panel (b), where the outliers clearly show up around the green-line discontinuities. The baseline curve (red line in panel (c)) is established by connecting the σ -clipped robust mean

value of the fitting points (the green line). Panel (c) presents the original light curve (grey line) and the final baseline curve (red line) of the target.

There are various flare detection algorithms (e.g., Walkowicz et al. (2011); Osten (2012)). As a general description, light curves are analyzed after they have detrended, i.e., the baseline curve has been subtracted from the observed light curve, and are searched for brightness enhancement where the relative flux becomes statistically larger than a certain threshold for two or more times consecutively. In this work, we combine the algorithms of Walkowicz et al. (2011) and Shibayama et al. (2013) to identify flare binaries:

- (i) Events are flagged as flare candidates when a minimum of 3 contiguous points are found above a threshold of 3 times the standard deviation of the local quiet flux.
- (ii) We calculate the number distribution of brightness variation between all pairs of two consecutive data points for all the binary stars.
- (iii) Brightness variation from step (ii) is identified as flare when the event is marked as flare candidate from step (i) and it matches the threshold of the ‘1%’ number distribution from step (ii) (as presented in Figure. 1(b) in Shibayama et al. (2013)).
- (iv) **Pairs of flares that occurred at the same time and whose spatial distance is less than 24 arcsec are excluded to avoid mis-detection due to the contaminations of other sources or the instrumental uncertainties such as the variation of pointing accuracy and cosmic rays (Shibayama et al. (2013)).**
- (v) **Light curves after step (iii) and step (iv) are confirmed by eye. The step is necessary to mainly reduce the false flares due to cosmic-rays and *Kepler* artifacts such as focus changes and loss of fine point ⁴, which may cause discontinuities in the light curves. The features might be captured as flares by computer, but easily distinguished by eye. There are approximately 9% false flares rejected in this process.**
- (vi) **As a final step, the pixel level data of each remaining flare from step (v) are examined by eye. If the spatial distribution of a flare on the CCDs is different from the distribution of the most energetic quiescence, the flare is considered as a brightness variation of another source (Shibayama et al. (2013); Kitze et al. (2014)). About 12% of flare candidates are removed in this step.**

⁴<http://archive.stsci.edu/kepler/manuals/KSCI-19033-001.pdf>

3. Data Results and Analysis

Following the method described in previous section, we identify **234** flare binaries from the **1049** close binary samples. **6818** flares are detected on flare binaries. Fundamental parameters of the **234** flare targets and the **6818** flares (together with light curves) are available electronically. Table 1 and Table 2 give the excerpt from the overall tables.

Figure. 2 shows the examples of the flare light curves on 6 close binaries. Horizontal and vertical axes correspond to the time (day) from the flare, and the relative flux ($\Delta F/\bar{F}$) from eq. (1), respectively. In each panel in Figure. 2, the black, green and red lines represent the light curves of the observed data, the baseline fitting flux, and the flares, respectively. The KIC number of each binary is given in the upper right corner in each panel.

$$\Delta F/\bar{F} = (F - \bar{F})/\bar{F}, \quad (1)$$

where F is the observed flux from LC-PDC data. $\bar{F}$ is the average flux during the current observation quarter.

Based on the light curves, there are basically two detectable parameters regarding flare properties. One is the total number of flares (N_f) during the entire observing period, the other is the corresponding flare intensity. N_f is directly obtained from flare detection. The measurement of flare intensity is processed with equations (2) and (3).

For each flare, we integrate the points that are tagged as part of the flare, which is essentially a photometric equivalent width (EW) of the flare (**Walkowicz et al. 2011**), i.e.,

$$EW = \int \frac{F_f - F_q}{F_q} dt, \quad (2)$$

where F_f and F_q are the flaring and the quiescent flux, respectively. **The observed flare energy is interpolated with the cubic spline function for EW integral. The integral starts and ends at the baseline curve, and the interpolation usually includes 1000 grids. We compare the EW results when performing the integral with or without spline interpolation. Two flares, one with 1.5-hour duration and 6 data points, the other with 13-hour duration and 29 data points, are used as the sample. The results show that: (1) for the 6-point flare, the EW difference is 9.6%; and (2) for the 29-point flare, the difference is 1.1%. As we mentioned in previous content, the SC data are actually more suitable for the studies of stellar flares compared to the LC data (Maehara et al. 2015). Shibayama et al. (2013) showed two examples of the flare energy difference from using SC and LC data, there is no energy difference for the flare in Figure. 11, and the SC result is 20% larger than the LC result in Figure. 12 (See appendix A in their paper). Considering that flares in SC data are sampled more sufficiently, and**

thus should present higher (at least equal) flare energy compared to those in LC data, the cubic spline interpolation we adopt in the EW integral will not artificially expand the flare intensity and will decrease the possibility of underestimating the EW .

Such an EW quantity has actually the units of time. It can be intuitively thought of as the time interval over which the quiescent star emits as much energy as was released during of the flare. Meanwhile, EW is a differential quantity, independent of distance and measured relative to the quiescent star. Therefore, for one binary system, the summation of EW s of all the flares divided by the total observation time can provide a measurement of the flaring intensity, which is **defined** as the flare ‘activity level’⁵ (AL) in the work:

$$AL = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N_f} EW_i}{T_{\text{obs}}} \approx \frac{E_f}{E_q}, \quad (3)$$

where EW_i is the EW of the i th observed flare on a binary. T_{obs} is the entire observation time of the binary. Assuming that the binary quiescent radiation is relatively a stable value, i.e., F_q in eq. (2) is approximately a constant, the description of $(F_q \times T_{\text{obs}})$ represents thus the total energy of the quiescent radiation (E_q), the value of $(F_q \times \sum_{i=1}^{N_f} EW_i)$ is the total energy of all the flares (E_f), and therefore the AL value actually estimates the ratio of E_f/E_q .

Figure. 3(a) presents the number distribution of flare binaries (N_{fb}) along the $\log AL$ values. The black line in the figure represents the N_{fb} distribution of the total 234 flare binaries. The red, green, blue and magenta lines are the N_{fb} distributions of the detached, semi-detached, over-contact and ellipsoidal flare binaries, respectively. The black line shows two peaks at $\log AL$ around -4.5 and -5.5. The ‘-4.5’ peak is mainly contributed by the detached (red line) and semi-detached (green line) systems, **which indicates more energetic flares occur on these two types compared to the over-contact and ellipsoidal systems.** Figure. 3(b) presents the distribution of N_{fb}/N_b along $\log AL$. As AL can only be calculated for flare binaries, N_b value is distinguished only for binary types when calculating N_{fb}/N_b ratio in different $\log AL$ bin, e.g., $N_b = 632$ for detached binaries (listed in Table 3) as building the red dotted line in Figure. 3(b). Such a ratio measurement (N_{fb}/N_b) excludes the effect of difference of the original N_b , therefore, it indicates more clearly the flare-binary frequency in different binary types. Two peaks show up again in Figure. 3(b) at the same $\log AL$ positions as in Figure. 3(a). Semi-detached binaries (the green dotted line) have much larger N_{fb}/N_b ratio compared to the other types.

⁵To our knowledge, the concept of ‘activity level’ (AL) is used for the first time to estimate the ratio of flare energy over quiescent radiation energy.

The actual number of binary systems (N_b) in our working sample and the ratio of N_{fb}/N_b in different morphologies are listed in Table 3, which shows that the detached and semi-detached binaries occupy 78% of the entire working sample (column 2), 86% of the flare binaries are from these two types (column 3), and the N_{fb}/N_b ratios in these two types are 10-20% higher than those in over-contact and ellipsoidal binaries (column 4). Detailed discussion is presented in the following content.

3.1. KIC 5952403 and KIC 11347875

As all the flare candidates are finally confirmed by eye, the process provides us a unique opportunity to confront ‘peculiar’ flare binaries. We pay special attention on two of them, i.e., KIC 5952403 and KIC 11347875, to figure out whether the flaring properties are related with the special features of the host systems.

KIC 5952403 (HD 181068) is a hierarchical triple system composed of an outer primary G-type red giant (we call it star ‘A’) and an inner close binary with two late type red dwarfs (we call them star ‘B’ and star ‘C’, respectively). Fundamental parameters of the three components are listed in Tables 3 and 4 in Borkovits et al. (2013). We identify 19 flare events on the system from LC data. Light curves of the 19 flares are presented in Figure. 4, in which the x- and y-axis **give the time (days) from the flare** and the relative flux ($\Delta F/\bar{F}$) of the light curve, respectively. All the flares are highlighted in red color in the figure.

We fold the LC light curve of KIC 5952403 into the triple-system orbital period $P_{A-BC} = 45.5178$ days (Figure. 5(a)) and the inner dwarf pair orbital period $P_{BC} = 0.9057$ days (Figure. 5(b)), respectively. P_{A-BC} and P_{BC} values are from the KEB catalog. In Figure. 5, the flare-amplitude weighted flare number distributions (N_f^* ⁶) are presented in the top panels, and the normal flare number distributions (N_f) are given in the middle panels. The black dots and yellow lines in the bottom panels represent the orbital-phase folded light curves and the robust mean values of the light curves, respectively. **N_f^* is weighted by the relative amplitude ($\Delta F/\bar{F}$) of the highest-energy flare in each phase bin. The detailed description is given in mathematic functions in the footnote.**

Derekas et al. (2011) found that almost all flares on the system appear right after the shallower minimum of the inner dwarf pair (as shown in Figure. 4), and there is a clear N_f^* enhancement at phase 0.55 in Figure. 5(b), both features suggest that flaring activity seems to be associated with

⁶(a) $\sum_{i=1}^{bin} N_{fi} = N_f$; (b) $Const \times \sum_{i=1}^{bin} (N_{fi} \times Amp_i) = N_f$; (c) $Amp_i = (\Delta F/\bar{F})_{flare_{max}}$, where $flare_{max}$ is the highest-energy flare in the i th phase bin; (d) $N_{fi}^* = N_{fi} \times Amp_i/Const$.

the close pair (Derekas et al. 2011). However, most of the flares last around half day from Figure. 4 display, and some of them even last longer than 1 day. For a triple system, if the duration of a flare is longer than the orbital period of the inner pair, the flare is more likely associated with star ‘A’ rather than the inner pair, because otherwise, the flare may not stay visible in a full orbital period without eclipse, especially for an edge-on system.

One flare is actually observed on KIC 5952403 during the secondary eclipse from the *Kepler* SC data (Czesla et al. 2014). It does not appear at phase 0.5 in our Figure. 5(a) as we use LC data in the work. The flare occurs when the dwarf pair is hidden behind the giant, it is thus definitely ascribed to the red giant. Totally 7 flares are reported by Czesla et al. (2014) in the *Kepler* SC light curve of KIC 5952403. The authors argued that all these flares should be originated on the red giant, because the peak flare luminosity they calculated is comparable to or even higher than the total luminosity of the dwarf pair, or, it would require an unreasonably large region on the dwarfs to reproduce such flare peak.

Following the same discussion as in section 5.4.1 in Czesla et al. (2014), Table 4 gives properties of the 19 flares identified in the *Kepler* LC data in this work. According to the column 2 information in Table 4, the peak luminosities of the 19 flares range between 0.22% and 1.72% of the giant’s luminosity ($L_{\text{bol,giant}} \sim 92.8 \pm 7.6 L_{\odot}$, Table 1 in Czesla et al. (2014)), which refers to 0.2 to 1.6 $L_{\odot}$. Assuming that the flaring material seen by *Kepler* has a temperature of $T_{\text{eff},f} = 10,000$ K, and the dwarf stars have radii of $R_s \approx 0.8 R_{\odot}$ (Czesla et al. (2014) and references therein), and using the formula of $f = 2 \frac{L_{\text{peak}}}{\sigma T_{\text{eff},f}^4 4\pi R_s^2}$, we estimate that between 6% and 53% of the visible hemisphere of either dwarf star has to be covered by flaring material in order to produce such flare peaks, which is too much larger than current observation evidence, e.g., an unusually intense flare observed on EV Lac star requires $\approx 3\%$ hemisphere coverage (Osten et al. 2010). In contrast, it requires only 0.03-0.19% coverage if the flares occur on the giant. It seems that the observation from this work supports the conclusion in Czesla et al. (2014) that the giant is more likely the birthplace of all the flares.

KIC 11347875 is a binary system. Its orbital period is $P = 3.4551$ days (from KEB catalog). Both KIC parameters ($T_{\text{eff}} = 4656$ K, $\log g = 2.581$) **and the study of Armstrong et al. (2014) indicate that the system contains two late type red giants. The T_{eff} and $\log g$ parameters are extracted from the *Kepler* input catalog⁷.** Figure. 6 gives the light curve and the flare number distributions of KIC 11347875. There are 49 flares detected on the system. These flares (red dots in the bottom panel in Figure. 6) are more frequently captured around phase 0.7-0.8. In the bottom panel in Figure. 6, both observed light curve (black dots) and their mean values (yellow lines) are in asymmetric shape against phase 0.5, and the shape stays almost invariable during the entire

⁷<http://archive.stsci.edu/kepler/stellar17/search.php>

observing time (over 1500 days). If the asymmetric shape and the flare generation are related to the active stellar spot region, then it implies that the movement of the group of spots is synchronized with the orbital motion, and the group of spots may cause the non-axisymmetry distribution of the magnetic fields in the system (Berdyugina & Tuominen 1998).

3.2. Effect of Morphology

Figure. 7 shows the AL_{ave} ⁸ variation along morphology values for the total **1049** binaries. As presented in the figure, flare activities are more frequently generated on the detached ($Mor < 0.5$) and semi-detached binaries ($0.5 < Mor < 0.7$) compared to the contact systems. We also notice that the AL_{ave} variation is related to the interacting activities between two components in a binary, more specifically, AL_{ave} increases monotonically with the increasing of morphology values for detached binaries, AL_{ave} decreases with the increasing of morphology values for semi-detached and over contact binaries, and AL_{ave} keeps roughly constant for ellipsoidal binaries. $Mor > 0.5$ means at least one of the components has filled its Roche lobe and mass transfer begins. The two components become closer as Mor is larger. When $Mor > 0.7$, two stars in a binary are so close and start sharing a common envelope, and the AL_{ave} variation starts becoming invisible in this condition. The AL_{ave} of over contact binaries ($Mor > 0.7$) is depressed compared to those fast rotating detached binaries ($Mor \sim 0.5$), which implies that the magnetic activity in common enveloped binaries may be frustrated by the development of the common envelope (Qian 2001, 2003). **Error bars in Figure. 7 represent 1σ uncertainty in AL measurements and the square root of N_b number in each morphology-value bin (the method described in Figure. 2 and 3 in Maehara et al. (2012)).** Maehara et al. (2012) studied superflares on solar-type stars with *Kepler* data, where they estimated that the uncertainties in luminosities and energies of flares are $\pm 60\%$. We use the $\pm 60\%$ uncertainties in our work when calculating EW values in eq. (2), based on mainly two reasons: (1) the duration time of most of the flares we detected is comparable to that of superflares (typically a few hours), and the comparability may extend to stellar energy; and (2) it is impossible to calculate the reliable luminosity (or energy) uncertainty for binary systems, because the reliability of all the essential parameters, such as effective temperature, surface gravity and radius, are low as they are estimated by using the mixed light of a binary system.

⁸ $AL_{\text{ave}} = \sum AL / N_b$, where $\sum AL$ sums up AL of all the flares in a given parameter region, and N_b is the number of binaries in the same region.

3.3. The Effect of Orbital Period and Rotation

Orbital period (P_{orb}) is one of the decisive parameters to influence the evolution of binary systems. We know that shorter period usually refers to faster rotation due to tidal interaction (Walter & Bowyer 1981), and thus implies the increased magnetic activity (Noyes et al. 1984). For instance, the RS CVn variables on which flares are observed are short period detached binaries with strong magnetic activity (Berdyugina & Järvinen 2005).

Figure. 8(a) is the AL_{ave} variation along P_{orb} which is extracted from the KEB catalog. AL_{ave} is increasing with shortening P_{orb} up to a critical value at $P_{\text{orb}} \sim 3$ days, thereafter, the AL_{ave} strength decreases with the decreasing P_{orb} . AL_{ave} shows no correlation with P_{orb} when $P_{\text{orb}} > 35$ days. Figure. 8(b) is the morphology distribution along the P_{orb} . All the contact systems ($Mor > 0.7$) shrink in a short orbital period range of $P_{\text{orb}} < 10$ days. Most of the binaries with $P_{\text{orb}} > 35$ days are quite detached systems shrinking in a narrow morphology range of $Mor < 0.2$.

The rotation rate of a star plays also an important role in flaring rate (Nielsen et al. 2013). For instance, Notsu et al. (2013) and Shibayama et al. (2013) find that the flare frequency is lower in slowly-rotating solar-type stars. For G, K and M stars, Candelaresi et al. (2014) find that flaring rate is increasing with rotation rate up to a critical value, and then, the flaring rate decreases linearly with increasing rotation rate. The same feature also fits for the binary systems. Figure. 9(a) shows the AL_{ave} variation along the rotation period (P_{rot}), where the AL_{ave} increases with the increasing rotation rate up to $P_{\text{rot}} \sim 1.5$ days, and then, the AL_{ave} starts decreasing with the decreasing P_{rot} . P_{rot} is only calculated for detached binaries in the work. We follow the studies such as Walkowicz et al. (2011), Maehara et al. (2012) and Nielsen et al. (2013), assuming that the period of brightness modulation corresponds to the rotation period of a star, and then we search the out of eclipse parts of binary light curves using Lomb-Scargle periodogram to measure the stellar rotation period of the primary. **We compute a Lomb-Scargle periodogram for each target in each quarter, and then we identify the peak of maximum power and record its period. Median value of the recorded periods of all the quarters is determined as the rotation period.** Figure. 9(b) shows the correlation between P_{orb} and P_{rot} for detached systems. The monotonically increasing correlation between two parameters stops at $P_{\text{rot}} \sim 32$ days.

3.4. Effect of Orbital Phase

It has been suggested that flares on active close binaries could arise due to magnetic reconnection field lines connecting two components (Simon et al. 1980; van den Oord 1988; Gunn et al. 1997), **for instance, tidal effect can play important role for the enhanced magnetic activities in RS CVn stars (Cuntz et al. 2000).** As the gravitational interaction and mass transfer between

two stars may break the spherical symmetry of stars and influence the development of magnetic fields, the density of magnetic field lines around the binary could present distribution related with the orbital phase (Holzwarth & Schüssler 2003a,b), and thus the flaring rate may be also sensitive to the orbital phase. Geometrically, a binary system presents its largest cross-section on line of sight at orbital phase 0.25 and 0.75 (primary eclipse at phase 0). If the magnetic activity is randomly distributed on the surface of both components, the N_f distribution should be modified simply due to the eclipse, i.e., the N_f minimum at phase 0 and 0.5, and the flat N_f distribution at other phases; and meanwhile, any ‘peculiar’ N_f distribution would indicate the different magnetic features or different magnetic activity areas.

KIC 2309587 is a detached binary with $N_f=128$ (Table 1), $T_1=5166$ K and $T_2=5577$ K (Armstrong et al. 2014). The value of $\log g=4.326$ (**from revised KIC, Huber et al. (2014)**) indicates both components are main-sequence stars. It is selected as an example to detect the correlation between flaring rate and orbital phase in the detached system. The amplitude-weighted flare number distribution (N_f^* ; top panel), flare number distribution (N_f ; middle panel) and orbital-phase folded light curves ($\Delta F/\bar{F}$; bottom panel) of the binary are presented in Figure. 10(a), respectively. **Symmetric light curve in the bottom panel indicates the non-existent of large star-spots.** Both N_f^* and N_f drop at phase 0 and 0.5, and keep almost constant at other phases, which indicates that flares are more likely originated on both components in the system, and the flaring rate is irrelevant with the orbital phase.

Figure. 10(b) is the same as Figure. 10(a), but for KIC 11457191, which is a semi-detached binary with 210 flares detected (Table 1). **Revised KEB catalog shows the system has $T_{\text{eff}}=5858$ K, $\log g=4.486$ and orbital period of $P_{\text{orb}}=2.298$ days.** The flare-number-distribution variation of the binary is still dominated by the eclipse between two components. As mass transfer begins in the system, and N_f keeps roughly flat at phase > 0.5 , flaring should be more active on the obtaining-mass secondary, but still, no sign of the correlation between magnetic activity and orbital phase is presented in Figure. 10(b).

We use **20** non-eclipse binaries with $M_{\text{or}} > 0.7$ to examine the correlation. Totally **203** flares are distributed along the orbital phase in Figure. 11(a) and (b). Figure. 11(c) gives the orbital-period folded light curve of KIC 11153627 as an example to illustrate the typical baseline-flux variation of an ellipsoidal system. In both Figure. 11(a) and (b), the significant enhancement of flare numbers amazingly shows up at around phase 0.25 and 0.75, which is consistent with positions of the largest amplitudes in Figure. 11(c). We know that even for the non-eclipse binaries, the cross-section on line of sight still varies with orbital phase due to tidal distortion, so does the surface luminosity, and therefore, the maximum light-curve amplitude of each binary can be viewed as the upper limit of the cross-section discrepancy, which is approximately 12% for KIC 11153627 (as shown in Figure. 11(c)), in other words, the changes of cross section should be no more than 12 percent for

the binary.

The averaged cross-section variation for the **20** non-eclipse samples is actually $2.9 \pm 2.6\%$. 2.6% is the $1-\sigma$ deviation, and thus, the 12 percent can be approximately considered as the upper limit of the cross-section modification. **In order to investigate how essential the cross-section is on the flare detection, we assume the minimum cross section of a binary is 1 at phase 0 and 1, and the maximum cross section is 1.12 at phase 0.25 and 0.75 as the maximum cross-section variation is 12%, and then we calculate the flare numbers again by dividing the numbers with the corresponding cross-section area. The red dashed line and the black solid line in Figure. 11 present flare number distributions with and without cross-section correction, respectively, and both lines in N_f^* and N_f distributions in Figure. 11 show clear enhancement at phase 0.25 and 0.75. Such variation can be larger than what is expected from the difference of cross section between phase 0.25(0.75) and phase 0(1).**

4. Discussion and Conclusion

In this paper, we present the results of flare-binary identification from *Kepler* LC data. We identify **234** flare binaries out of the **1049** binary samples in the KEB catalog. We use 4-terms Fourier series to capture flare features and finally **6818** flares are detected on the **234** flare binaries.

We pay special attention on a triple system KIC 5952403, also known as HD 181068. Previous studies argue that flares on the system should be generated simply on the inner pair (Derekas et al. 2011) or simply on the outer giant (Czesla et al. 2014). By estimating the necessary hemisphere coverage according to the observed flare peak luminosity, we confirm that the 19 flares detected in this work from the *Keple* LC light curve should be originated on the giant star.

We compare the flare-binary fraction in binaries of different morphology types. The result shows that the fractions in over-contact and ellipsoidal binaries are approximately 10-20% lower than those in detached and semi-detached systems. Contact binaries are usually more luminous compared to detached ones, and high luminosity naturally decreases the visibility of flare events, which the 10-20% difference should be mainly attributed to. In addition, the existence of common envelopes in contact systems may depress the activity of magnetic field and thus influence the flare generation.

We identify a parameter named the flare ‘activity level’ (AL , eq.(3)) to estimate the ratio of flare energy over the baseline energy in a binary. We discuss the AL_{ave} variations along the P_{orb} and P_{rot} (calculated for only detached binaries) parameters. We report that AL_{ave} increases with decreasing P_{orb} and/or P_{rot} up to the critical values at $P_{orb} \sim 3$ days or $P_{rot} \sim 1.5$ days, thereafter, the AL_{ave} starts decreasing no matter how fast the stars rotate. When considering

the AL_{ave} variation in different morphology types, we find that the variation is related to the interacting activities between two stars in a binary. As presented in Figure. 8, when $Mor > 0.5$, meaning at least one of the components has filled its Roche lobe and mass transfer begins, AL_{ave} stars decreasing with the increasing Mor ; when $Mor > 0.7$, meaning the two stars in a binary are so close and start sharing a common envelope, AL_{ave} variation stars becoming invisible in this condition.

We examine the flaring rate as a function of orbital phase in 2 eclipsing binaries on which a large number of flares are detected. It appears that there is no correlation between flaring rate and orbital phase in these 2 binaries. In contrast, when we examine the function with **203** flares on **20** non-eclipse ellipsoidal binaries, bimodal distributions of both N_f^* and N_f show up at orbital phase 0.25 and 0.75. We argue that the maximum 12 percent cross-section variation can not afford the observed flare-number difference between phase 0.25(0.75) and 0(0.5), as presented in Figure. 11(a) and (b). We conclude that the bimodal distribution might be still related with the slight orbital inclination and/or gravitational distortion, and meanwhile, **such variation can be larger than what is expected from the difference of cross section between phase 0.25(0.75) and phase 0(1).**

QG is grateful to Yu Bai and Song Wang for useful suggestions. YX thanks the 973 Program 2014CB845700. JFL acknowledges the 973 Program 2014CB845705. SG is supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities. The paper includes data collected by the *Kepler* mission. Funding for the *Kepler* mission is provided by the NASA Science Mission Directorate. All of the data presented in this paper were obtained from the Mikulsk Archive for Space Telescope (MAST). STScI is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under NASA contract NAS5-26555. Support for MAST for non-HST data is provided by the NASA Office of Space Science via grant NNX09AF08G and by other grants and contracts.

REFERENCES

- Armstrong, D. J., Gómez Maqueo Chew, Y., Faedi, F., & Pollacco, D. 2014, MNRAS, 437, 3473
- Balona, L. A. 2013, MNRAS, 431, 2240
- . 2015, MNRAS, 447, 2714
- Benz, A. O., & Güdel, M. 2010, ARA&A, 48, 241
- Berdyugina, S. V., & Järvinen, S. P. 2005, Astronomische Nachrichten, 326, 283

- Berdyugina, S. V., & Tuominen, I. 1998, *A&A*, 336, L25
- Borkovits, T., et al. 2013, *MNRAS*, 428, 1656
- Borucki, W. J., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 728, 117
- Candelaresi, S., Hillier, A., Maehara, H., Brandenburg, A., & Shibata, K. 2014, *ApJ*, 792, 67
- Cuntz, M., Saar, S. H., & Musielak, Z. E. 2000, *ApJ*, 533, L151
- Czesla, S., Huber, K. F., Schneider, P. C., & Schmitt, J. H. M. M. 2014, *A&A*, 570, A115
- Derekas, A., et al. 2011, *Science*, 332, 216
- Gilliland, R. L., et al. 2010a, *ApJ*, 713, L160
- . 2010b, *PASP*, 122, 131
- Güdel, M., & Nazé, Y. 2009, *A&A Rev.*, 17, 309
- Gunn, A. G., Migenes, V., Doyle, J. G., Spencer, R. E., & Mathioudakis, M. 1997, *MNRAS*, 287, 199
- Holzwarth, V., & Schüssler, M. 2003a, *A&A*, 405, 291
- . 2003b, *A&A*, 405, 303
- Huber, D., et al. 2014, *ApJS*, 211, 2
- Kirk, B., et al. 2015, *ArXiv e-prints*
- Kitze, M., Neuhäuser, R., Hambaryan, V., & Ginski, C. 2014, *MNRAS*, 442, 3769
- Koch, D. G., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 713, L79
- Maehara, H., Shibayama, T., Notsu, Y., Notsu, S., Honda, S., Nogami, D., & Shibata, K. 2015, *Earth, Planets, and Space*, 67, 59
- Maehara, H., et al. 2012, *Nature*, 485, 478
- Matijević, G., Prša, A., Orosz, J. A., Welsh, W. F., Bloemen, S., & Barclay, T. 2012, *AJ*, 143, 123
- Morris, S. L. 1985, *ApJ*, 295, 143
- Nielsen, M. B., Gizon, L., Schunker, H., & Karoff, C. 2013, *A&A*, 557, L10
- Notsu, Y., et al. 2013, *ApJ*, 771, 127

- Noyes, R. W., Hartmann, L. W., Baliunas, S. L., Duncan, D. K., & Vaughan, A. H. 1984, *ApJ*, 279, 763
- Osten, R. A. 2012, in *IAU Symposium*, Vol. 285, *IAU Symposium*, ed. E. Griffin, R. Hanisch, & R. Seaman, 137–137
- Osten, R. A., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, 721, 785
- Pandey, J. C., & Singh, K. P. 2012, *MNRAS*, 419, 1219
- Qian, S. 2001, *MNRAS*, 328, 635
- . 2003, *MNRAS*, 342, 1260
- Qian, S.-B., et al. 2014, *ApJS*, 212, 4
- Schaefer, B. E., King, J. R., & Deliyannis, C. P. 2000, *ApJ*, 529, 1026
- Shibayama, T., et al. 2013, *ApJS*, 209, 5
- Simon, T., Linsky, J. L., & Schiffer, III, F. H. 1980, *ApJ*, 239, 911
- Smith, J. C., et al. 2012, *PASP*, 124, 1000
- van den Oord, G. H. J. 1988, *A&A*, 205, 167
- Walkowicz, L. M., et al. 2011, *AJ*, 141, 50
- Walter, F. M., & Bowyer, S. 1981, *ApJ*, 245, 671

Table 1:: Fundamental parameters of 234 flare binaries. The KIC number, effective temperature (T_{eff}), surface gravity ($\log g$), orbital period (P_{orb}) and morphology value (Mor) are extracted from the KEB catalogue. The light curve amplitude (Amp), flare activity level (AL), flare frequency (f (flares/day)), total number of flares (N_f) and the peak relative flux of flares ($\log(\Delta F_{\text{max}}/\bar{F})$) are calculated in the work. ΔF_{max} is calculated by substituting F of the highest energy flare into eq. (1). DR_{notes} **is the LC-PDC data release version we adopt for each flare binary.** The complete table is available electronically.

KIC	$T_{\text{eff}}[\text{K}]$	$\log g$	$P_{\text{orb}}[\text{day}]$	Mor	Amp	AL	$f[1/\text{day}]$	N_f	$\log(\Delta F_{\text{max}}/\bar{F})$	DR_{notes}
002305372	5664	3.974	1.405	0.58	0.066	1.232e-05	0.015	20	-1.043	24
002447893	5059	4.447	0.662	0.58	0.043	1.415e-05	0.016	21	-1.296	24
002556127	5920	4.629	0.419	0.59	0.003	5.365e-08	0.001	1	-2.583	24
002557430	6248	4.103	1.298	0.51	0.010	6.314e-06	0.060	81	-2.040	24
002569494	5114	4.471	1.523	0.58	0.186	8.435e-05	0.058	25	-1.264	24
002577756	5630	4.953	0.870	0.63	0.094	2.946e-06	0.007	10	-1.775	24
002835289	6228	4.265	0.858	0.92	0.023	3.431e-06	0.011	15	-1.584	24
003114667	—	—	0.889	0.52	0.041	6.585e-05	0.024	15	-0.873	24
003218683	5119	4.659	0.772	0.69	0.173	2.602e-05	0.007	10	-0.856	24
003338660	5722	4.276	1.873	0.60	0.077	1.904e-05	0.018	14	-1.031	24

Table 2:: Parameters of the **6818** flares.

KIC	$t_{\text{max}}[\text{day}]$	$EW[\text{day}]$	$\Delta F/\bar{F}$	$\Delta t[\text{hr}]$
002305372	5143.30545	0.000140	0.003621	1.471141
002305372	5159.56975	0.000277	0.008178	1.961526
002305372	5166.43511	0.000086	0.001994	1.471150
002305372	5297.29229	0.000299	0.005592	1.961720
002305372	5422.18660	0.001007	0.012124	4.904034
002305372	5446.23655	0.001099	0.043413	1.471182
002305372	5458.53721	0.000930	0.011786	4.413509
002305372	5511.41691	0.001581	0.019670	4.413426

Note. — The columns are: (1) the KIC number, (2) time of flare maximum (t_{max}) relative to BJD 2450000, (3) EW (days), (4) relative flux amplitude ($\Delta F/\bar{F}$), (5) flare duration (Δt (hours)). The complete table and the light curves are available electronically.

Table 3:: Percentage of flare binaries in different morphology types.

Morphology	N_b	N_{fb}	$N_{fb}/N_b(\%)$
Detached	632	140	22.15
Semi-detached	188	62	32.98
Over-contact	59	7	11.86
Ellipsoidal	170	25	14.71
All	1049	234*	22.31

Note. — The columns are: (1) morphology type of binary system, (2) number of binaries, (3) number of flare binaries, (4) the ratio of flare binaries in the same morphology.

Note. — ★ We identify totally **234** flare binaries from the **1049** binary samples. The two peculiar systems (KIC 5952403 and KIC 11347875) discussed in Section 3.1 are excluded from Figure 3 and Table 3 to avoid the infusion of atypical flare features.

Table 4:: Properties of the 19 flares in the *Kepler* long-cadence light curve of KIC 5952403.

T_{peak}^a (d)	f_{peak}^b (%)	t_{rise}^c (min)	t_{decay}^c (min)	E_{flare}^d (erg)
159.916	0.47	59	221	2e+38
194.736	0.60	29	265	3e+38
269.685	0.81	59	103	3e+38
278.553	0.81	118	206	4e+38
297.984	0.38	103	250	2e+38
392.610	1.72	15	206	4e+38
405.217	0.45	118	309	3e+38
424.589	0.80	44	250	4e+38
447.312	0.25	88	177	1e+38
471.322	0.87	74	324	3e+38
497.969	0.22	74	368	2e+38
500.748	0.26	250	177	1e+38
549.095	0.50	162	309	3e+38
572.267	0.26	250	44	1e+38
605.022	0.26	29	250	1e+38
612.337	0.27	59	191	8e+37
613.747	0.57	103	485	3e+38
1321.424	0.42	103	250	2e+38
1339.058	1.46	29	250	4e+38

Note. — ^(a) Time of flare peak in BJD-2454833. ^(b) Flare maximum in fraction of stellar flux. ^(c) Duration of rise (between beginning and maximum) and decay (between maximum and end) phase of the flare. ^(d) Energy of the flare in white light (*Kepler* bandpass).




Fig. 1.—: Procedure of the baseline fitting. The light curve of KIC 11457191 is used as an example. Panel (a): The original light curve is plotted in grey line. The black dots are the remaining data points after the 3σ exclusion in step (i) (Section 2.3). As KIC 11457191 is a semi-detached binary, data points during eclipsing are also excluded. Panel (b): 10 times fitting points in step (ii) are given in green lines. The outliers clearly show up around the green-line discontinuities. Panel (c): The baseline curve (red line) is established by connecting the σ -clipped robust mean value of the fitting points (the green line in panel (b)).




Fig. 2.—: Light curves of flares on 6 close binaries. Horizontal and vertical axes correspond to the time (day) from the flare, and the relative flux ($\Delta F / \bar{F}$), respectively. In each panel, the black, green and red lines present the light curves of the observed data, the baseline fitting flux, and the flares, respectively. The KIC number and the modified julian date (MJD) of flare peak time of each binary are given in the upper right corner in each panel.




Fig. 3.—: Panel (a): Number distribution of flare binaries (N_{fb}) along the $\log AL$ values. The black, red, green, blue and magenta lines show the distribution of the total 234, the detached, semi-detached, over-contact and ellipsoidal flare binaries, respectively. The two peculiar binaries discussed in Section 3.1 are excluded. **Panel (b): Distribution of N_{fb}/N_b ratio along $\log AL$. As AL can only be calculated for flare binaries, N_b is distinguished only for binary types when calculating N_{fb}/N_b in different $\log AL$ bin.**




Fig. 4.—: Light curves of 19 flare events detected on KIC 5952403. Flares are highlighted in red lines. The x-axis is the time (days) from the flare. The y-axis is the relative flux ($\Delta F/\bar{F}$) of the light curve. **MJD** is given on the top of each panel.




Fig. 5.—: Flare number distribution of KIC 5952403 when the light curves are folded with $P_{A-BC} = 45.5178$ days (panel a) and with $P_{BC} = 0.9057$ days (panel b), respectively. Bottom panels: the black dots and the yellow lines present the orbital phase folded light curves of the primary and the robust mean values of the light curves, respectively; the rod dots are the observed flares. Top panels: amplitude-weighted flare number distributions (N_f^*) along the orbital phase. Middle panels: normal flare number distributions (N_f) along the orbital phase.




Fig. 6.—: Flare number distributions and light curve of KIC 11347875.




Fig. 7.—: **Distribution of AL_{ave} along morphology values.** The red, green, blue and magenta colors represent the distributions of detached, semi-detached, over-contact and ellipsoidal binaries, respectively. The error bars represent 1σ uncertainty in AL calculations and the square root of event numbers in each morphology-value bin.




Fig. 8.—: Panel (a): AL_{ave} variation along orbital period. **Panel (b): Correlation between morphology value and orbital period.** The red open circles, green solid circles, blue solid squares and magenta open squares represent detached, semi-detached, over-contact and ellipsoidal binaries, respectively. The error bars represent 1σ uncertainty in AL calculations and the square root of event numbers in each orbital-period bin.




Fig. 9.—: Panel (a): AL_{ave} variation along rotation period. Panel (b): Correlation between orbital period (P_{orb}) and rotation period (P_{rot}), and the correlation is discussed for only detached binaries. **The error bars represent 1σ uncertainty in AL calculations and the square root of event numbers in each rotation-period bin.**




Fig. 10.—: Flare number distributions and light curves of KIC 2309587 (panel a) and KIC 11457191 (panel b), respectively.




Fig. 11.—: Flare number distributions of 20 non-eclipse ellipsoidal binaries. Panel (a): amplitude weighted flare number distribution (N_f^*) along the orbital phase. Panel (b): normal flare number distribution (N_f) along the orbital phase. Panel (c): orbital-period folded light curve of KIC 11153627. In both Panel (a) and (b), the red dashed lines represent the distributions after the correction of cross-section on line of sight, and the black solid lines are the corresponding distributions without cross-section correction.